

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
ROANOKE DIVISION

ADRIAN W. <sup>1</sup> ,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 7:17-cv-00402
	)	
NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting	)	By: Elizabeth K. Dillon
Commissioner of Social Security,	)	United States District Judge
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

**ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT**

In this social security case, plaintiff Adrian W. and defendant Nancy Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration, both move for summary judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), the court referred the motions to U.S. Magistrate Judge Robert S. Ballou for a report and recommendation (R&R).

On January 2, 2019, the magistrate judge issued his R&R, recommending that the court grant plaintiff's motion in part, deny the Commissioner's motion, and remand the case for further administrative proceedings. (Dkt. No. 19 at 1.) The magistrate judge also advised the parties of their right under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) to file written objections to his proposed findings and recommendations within 14 days of service of the R&R. (*Id.* at 19.)

The deadline to object to the R&R has passed, and no party has filed an objection. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to

---

<sup>1</sup> Due to privacy concerns, the court adopts the recommendation of the Committee on Court Administration and Case Management of the Judicial Conference of the United States that courts use only the first name and last initial of the claimant in social security opinions.

accept the recommendation.”” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

Upon reviewing the record here, the court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the R&R. Accordingly, the court hereby ORDERS as follows:

1. The R&R (Dkt. No. 19) is ADOPTED;
2. Plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 12) is GRANTED IN PART;
3. The Commissioner’s motion for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 14) is DENIED;
4. The case is REMANDED for further administrative proceedings consistent with the R&R, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); and
5. The case is STRUCK from the court’s active docket.

The clerk is directed to send a copy of this order to all counsel of record.

Entered: January 29, 2019.

*/s/ Elizabeth K. Dillon*  
Elizabeth K. Dillon  
United States District Judge